UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
V.				
Jerrold Marvin Lafitte Defendant	Case No. 1:11-cr-00222-JTN			
Dolondan				
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reformation that the defendant be detained pending trial.	orm Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require			
Part I – Fin	dings of Fact			
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of a federal offense a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had				
existed – that is				
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.				
an offense for which the maximum sentence is a	death or life imprisonment.			
an offense for which a maximum prison term of	ten years or more is prescribed in:			
	*			
a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.				
any felony that is not a crime of violence but inv	olves:			
the possession or use of a firearm of a failure to register under 18 U.S.C.	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon § 2250			
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed whor local offense.	nile the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state			
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the			
	ption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another has not rebutted that presumption.			
	Findings (A)			
✓ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant				
✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten years o				
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et se under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).				
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption establishment will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance an	blished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.			
•	Findings (B)			
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endange				
 , ,	he Reasons for Detention			
evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:	e detention hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing			
 Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to cont Defendant has not rebutted the presumption of detention in 				
·				

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	July 29, 2011	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge